

OPTIMAL PINCHING FOR THE HOLOMORPHIC SECTIONAL CURVATURE OF HITCHIN'S METRICS ON HIRZEBRUCH SURFACES

ANGELYNN ALVAREZ, ANANYA CHATURVEDI, GORDON HEIER

ABSTRACT. The main result of this note is that, for each $n \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, there exists a Hodge metric on the n -th Hirzebruch surface whose positive holomorphic sectional curvature is $\frac{1}{(1+2n)^2}$ -pinched. The type of metric under consideration was first studied by Hitchin in this context. In order to address the case $n = 0$, we prove a general result on the pinching of the holomorphic sectional curvature of the product metric on the product of two Hermitian manifolds M and N of positive holomorphic sectional curvature.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is a well-known fact that the Fubini-Study metric on a complex projective space of arbitrary dimension has constant holomorphic sectional curvature equal to 4. However, in general, few examples are known of compact complex manifolds which carry a Hermitian metric of positive holomorphic sectional curvature, let alone a Hermitian metric with positively pinched holomorphic sectional curvature. A notable exception form the irreducible Hermitian symmetric spaces of compact type, whose pinching constants for the holomorphic sectional curvature are listed in [Che77, Table I] (see also the references in that paper). In particular, the geometry and curvature of fibrations and even fiber bundles are poorly understood in this respect.

In this note, we are primarily interested in the Hirzebruch surfaces $\mathbb{F}_n = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(n) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1})$, $n \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. It was proven by Hitchin in [Hit75] that they do carry a natural metric of positive holomorphic sectional curvature, but his proof does not yield any pinching constants. Even this nonquantitative positivity result may be considered to be somewhat surprising, as the $\mathbb{F}_n$ do not carry metrics of positive Ricci curvature, except when $n = 0$, or $n = 1$. Our main result is the following pinching theorem for the metrics on $\mathbb{F}_n$ considered in [Hit75], whose definition is recalled in Section 2.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\mathbb{F}_n$, $n \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, be the n -th Hirzebruch surface. Then there exists a Hodge metric on $\mathbb{F}_n$ whose positive holomorphic sectional curvature is $\frac{1}{(1+2n)^2}$ -pinched.*

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14J26, 32Q10, 53C55.

The third named author is partially supported by the National Security Agency under Grant Number H98230-12-1-0235. The United States Government is authorized to reproduce and distribute reprints notwithstanding any copyright notation herein.

We also prove that the numerical values of the pinching constants are optimal in the families of metrics studied by Hitchin. This does however leave open the question if there are other types of metrics on Hirzebruch surfaces with better pinching constants. Recall that an upper bound on the possible value of such pinching constants was given in the paper [BG63], where it was proven that a complete Kähler manifold whose positive holomorphic sectional curvature is c -pinched with $c > \frac{4}{5}$ is homotopic to a complex projective space.

The proofs work by way of explicit computations, using in particular the method of Lagrange multipliers. Our results can likely be generalized to projectivized vector bundles of higher rank over higher-dimensional bases, but the computations will surely become much more involved, and we will leave this for a later occasion.

Since we could not find a reference for it, we also include the following pinching theorem for products $M \times N$ of Hermitian manifolds endowed with the product metric. If $M = N = \mathbb{P}^1$, then this theorem addresses the case of the 0-th Hirzebruch surface $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, which was not handled in Theorem 1.1. In this case, $c_M = c_N = c_{\mathbb{P}^1} = 1$, $k = 4$, and $\frac{c_M c_N}{c_M + c_N} = \frac{1}{2}$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let M and N be Hermitian manifolds whose positive holomorphic sectional curvatures are c_M - and c_N -pinched respectively and satisfy*

$$kc_M \leq K_M \leq k \quad \text{and} \quad kc_N \leq K_N \leq k$$

for a constant $k > 0$. Then the holomorphic sectional curvature K of the product metric on $M \times N$ satisfies

$$k \frac{c_M c_N}{c_M + c_N} \leq K \leq k$$

and is $\frac{c_M c_N}{c_M + c_N}$ -pinched.

Recall that the Hopf Conjecture states that the product of two real two-spheres does not admit a Riemannian metric of positive sectional curvature, so even the case of products as in Theorem 1.2 is not trivial with respect to sectional curvatures.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we will recall fundamental definitions and establish our basic setup. In Section 3, we will prove Theorem 1.1 and also derive a corollary giving lower and upper bounds for the scalar curvature of the metrics under investigation. In Section 4, we will give an interpretation of the results of our computations in terms of the geometry of Hirzebruch surfaces. In Section 5, we will prove Theorem 1.2.

2. BASIC DEFINITIONS AND DESCRIPTION OF THE FAMILY OF METRICS UNDER CONSIDERATION

Let M be an m -dimensional manifold with local coordinates $z_1, \dots, z_m$. Let

$$g = \sum_{i,j=1}^m g_{i\bar{j}} dz_i \otimes d\bar{z}_j$$

be a Hermitian metric on M . Under the usual abuse of terminology, we will alternatively refer to the associated (1,1)-form $\omega = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^m g_{i\bar{j}} dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j$ as the metric on M . The metric is called *Kähler* if ω is d -closed. It is called *Hodge* if it is Kähler and the cohomology class of ω is rational.

The components $R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}$ of the curvature tensor R associated with the metric connection are locally given by the formula

$$(1) \quad R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} = -\frac{\partial^2 g_{i\bar{j}}}{\partial z_k \partial \bar{z}_l} + \sum_{p,q=1}^m g^{p\bar{q}} \frac{\partial g_{i\bar{p}}}{\partial z_k} \frac{\partial g_{q\bar{j}}}{\partial \bar{z}_l}.$$

If $\xi = \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}$ is a non-zero complex tangent vector at $p \in M$, then the *holomorphic sectional curvature* $K(\xi)$ is given by

$$(2) \quad K(\xi) = \left(2 \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^m R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}(p) \xi_i \bar{\xi}_j \xi_k \bar{\xi}_l \right) / \left(\sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^m g_{i\bar{j}} g_{k\bar{l}} \xi_i \bar{\xi}_j \xi_k \bar{\xi}_l \right).$$

Note that the holomorphic sectional curvature of ξ is clearly invariant under multiplication of ξ with a real non-zero scalar, and it thus suffices to consider unit vectors, for which the value of the denominator is 1. For a constant $c \in (0, 1]$, we say that the (positive) holomorphic sectional curvature is *c-pinched* if

$$(1 \geq) \frac{\inf_{\xi} K(\xi)}{\sup_{\xi} K(\xi)} = c,$$

where the infimum and supremum are taken over all non-zero (or unit) tangent vectors across the entire manifold. In the case of a compact manifold, the infimum and supremum become a minimum and maximum, respectively, due to compactness.

Moreover, it is a basic fact that the holomorphic sectional curvature of a Kähler metric completely determines the curvature tensor $R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}$ ([KN69, Proposition 7.1, p. 166]). However, as we remarked in the introduction, positivity or negativity properties of the holomorphic sectional curvature of a Kähler metric do not necessarily transfer to the *Ricci curvature* $R_{i\bar{j}}$, which is defined as the following trace of the curvature tensor:

$$R_{i\bar{j}} = \sum_{k,l=1}^m g^{k\bar{l}} R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}.$$

Nevertheless, there is a beautiful integral formula due to Berger (see Lemma 3.1) which expresses the *scalar curvature* τ of a Kähler metric as an integral of the holomorphic sectional curvature, while the standard definition is as the trace of the Ricci curvature:

$$\tau = \sum_{i,j=1}^m g^{i\bar{j}} R_{i\bar{j}} = \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^m g^{i\bar{j}} g^{k\bar{l}} R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}.$$

Following Hitchin's idea from [Hit75], we recall that on the n -th Hirzebruch surface $\mathbb{F}_n$, there are natural Hermitian metrics defined as follows. Note that these metrics are clearly Kähler and, when the value of the parameter s is rational, even Hodge.

If z_1 is an inhomogeneous coordinate on an open subset of the base space $\mathbb{P}^1$, then a point

$$w \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(n) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$$

can be represented by coordinates w_1, w_2 in the fiber direction as

$$w = (z_1, w_1 (dz_1)^{-n/2}, w_2),$$

where $(dz_1)^{-1}$ is to be understood as a section of $T\mathbb{P}^1 = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2)$. After the projectivization, each fiber carries the inhomogeneous coordinate $z_2 = w_2/w_1$. For a positive real number s , the metric

$$\omega_s = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} (\log(1 + z_1 \bar{z}_1) + s \log((1 + z_1 \bar{z}_1)^n + z_2 \bar{z}_2))$$

is globally well-defined on $\mathbb{F}_n$. It is this metric for which we compute the holomorphic sectional curvature pinching. We also find the choice of s with the optimal value of the pinching constant in the family of metrics parametrized by s .

Remark 2.1. In [Hit75], the curvature tensor is expressed in terms of a local unitary frame field. In this note, we prefer to work in terms of the frame field $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}$ with respect to the coordinates discussed above, as it seems to lend itself better to our method.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

3.1. The case $n \geq 2$. As observed in [Hit75], the fact that $SU(2)$ acts transitively on $\mathbb{P}^1$ as isometries of the Fubini-Study metric and that this action lifts to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(n) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$, implies that we can restrict ourselves to computing the curvature along one fiber, say the one given by $z_1 = 0$. The metric tensor associated to ω_s along this fiber is

$$(g_{i\bar{j}}) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1+z_2\bar{z}_2+sn}{1+z_2\bar{z}_2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{s}{(1+z_2\bar{z}_2)^2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

From this, we see that an orthonormal basis for $T_{(0,z_2)}\mathbb{F}_n$ is given by the two vectors

$$\sqrt{\frac{1+z_2\bar{z}_2}{1+z_2\bar{z}_2+ns}} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1+z_2\bar{z}_2}{\sqrt{s}} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}.$$

Therefore, an arbitrary unit tangent vector $\xi \in T_{(0,z_2)}\mathbb{F}_n$ can be written as

$$\xi = c_1 \sqrt{\frac{1+z_2\bar{z}_2}{1+z_2\bar{z}_2+ns}} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} + c_2 \frac{1+z_2\bar{z}_2}{\sqrt{s}} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2},$$

where $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ are such that $|c_1|^2 + |c_2|^2 = 1$. Let $\xi_1 := c_1 \sqrt{\frac{1+z_2\bar{z}_2}{1+z_2\bar{z}_2+ns}}$ and $\xi_2 := c_2 \frac{1+z_2\bar{z}_2}{\sqrt{s}}$. Based on the formula (1) in Section 2, the components of the curvature tensor are

$$\begin{aligned} R_{1\bar{1}1\bar{1}} &= \frac{2(-n^2 s z_2 \bar{z}_2 + (1 + z_2 \bar{z}_2)^2 + n(s + s z_2 \bar{z}_2))}{(1 + z_2 \bar{z}_2)^2}, \\ R_{1\bar{1}2\bar{2}} &= \frac{ns(1 + ns - z_2^2 \bar{z}_2^2)}{(1 + z_2 \bar{z}_2)^3 (1 + ns + z_2 \bar{z}_2)}, \\ R_{2\bar{2}2\bar{2}} &= \frac{2s}{(1 + z_2 \bar{z}_2)^4}, \end{aligned}$$

while the other terms (except those obtained from symmetry) are zero. Substituting the components and values of ξ_1 and ξ_2 into the definition (2) of holomorphic

sectional curvature in the direction of ξ gives us

$$\begin{aligned}
K(\xi) &= 2 \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^2 R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} \xi_i \bar{\xi}_j \xi_k \bar{\xi}_l \\
&= 2R_{1\bar{1}1\bar{1}} \xi_1 \bar{\xi}_1 \xi_1 \bar{\xi}_1 + 8R_{1\bar{1}2\bar{2}} \xi_1 \bar{\xi}_1 \xi_2 \bar{\xi}_2 + 2R_{2\bar{2}2\bar{2}} \xi_2 \bar{\xi}_2 \xi_2 \bar{\xi}_2 \\
&= \frac{4((1+z_2\bar{z}_2)^2 + ns(1+z_2\bar{z}_2 - nz_2\bar{z}_2))}{(1+z_2\bar{z}_2 + ns)^2} |c_1|^4 \\
&\quad + \frac{8n(1+ns - z_2^2\bar{z}_2^2)}{(1+z_2\bar{z}_2 + ns)^2} |c_1|^2 |c_2|^2 + \frac{4}{s} |c_2|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the above expression only depends on the modulus squared of z_2 , we let $r := z_2\bar{z}_2$. Also, we let $a := |c_1|^2$ and $b := |c_2|^2$, satisfying $a + b = 1$ and $a, b \in [0, 1]$. Hence, for fixed values of r and s , the holomorphic sectional curvature takes the form of a degree two homogeneous polynomial in a and b with real coefficients:

$$(3) \quad K_{r,s}(a, b) = \frac{4((1+r)^2 + ns(1+r-nr))}{(1+r+ns)^2} a^2 + \frac{8n(1+ns-r^2)}{(1+r+ns)^2} ab + \frac{4}{s} b^2.$$

We write $\alpha := \frac{4((1+r)^2 + ns(1+r-nr))}{(1+r+ns)^2}$, $\beta := \frac{8n(1+ns-r^2)}{(1+r+ns)^2}$, and $\gamma := \frac{4}{s}$ for the coefficients.

In order to find the pinching constant for the metric ω_s , we need to minimize and maximize

$$K_{r,s}(a, b) = \alpha a^2 + \beta ab + \gamma b^2$$

for fixed s , subject to the constraint $a + b - 1 = 0$. To do so, we first also fix r and set up the Lagrange Multiplier equations:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial a} K_{r,s}(a, b) = \lambda, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial b} K_{r,s}(a, b) = \lambda, \quad a + b - 1 = 0.$$

Solving this system of equations for a, b yields a unique stationary solution

$$\begin{aligned}
a_0 &= \frac{2\gamma - \beta}{2(\gamma - \beta + \alpha)} = \frac{(1+r)(1+ns)}{1+s - (-1+n)ns^2 + r(1+s+2ns)}, \\
b_0 &= \frac{2\alpha - \beta}{2(\gamma - \beta + \alpha)} = \frac{s(1-n+r+nr+ns-n^2s)}{1+s - (-1+n)ns^2 + r(1+s+2ns)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting these values into equation (3) gives us

$$\begin{aligned}
&K_{r,s}(a_0, b_0) \\
&= 4 \cdot \frac{3r^2(1+ns) + 3r(1+ns)^2 - r^3(-1+n^2s) - (1+ns)^2(-1+ns+n^2s)}{(1+r+ns)^2(1+s - (-1+n)ns^2 + r(1+s+2ns))}.
\end{aligned}$$

We shall now find lower and upper bounds for the holomorphic sectional curvature in the following three cases:

- (i) For $a = a_0$ and $b = b_0$: For a fixed value of s , define $f_s : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$f_s(r) := K_{r,s}(a_0, b_0).$$

A computation yields that $f'_s(r) = 0$ if and only if $r = -1 \notin (0, \infty)$ (which we may disregard) or

$$r = r_0 := \frac{(n-1)(1+ns)}{1+n},$$

which is in $(0, \infty)$ under the assumption $n \geq 2$. Note

$$f_s(r_0) = \frac{4 - s(n-1)^2}{1 + ns}.$$

At the endpoints of the interval $[0, \infty)$, we see that

$$f_s(0) = \frac{4(1 + ns - n^2s)}{1 + s - (n-1)ns^2}, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} f_s(r) = \frac{4 - 4n^2s}{1 + s + 2ns}.$$

The latter expression makes it clear that we need to choose $s < \frac{1}{n^2}$ in order to obtain positive holomorphic sectional curvature. Furthermore, for $s < \frac{1}{n^2}$,

$$\frac{4(1 + ns - n^2s)}{1 + s - (n-1)ns^2} - \frac{4 - 4n^2s}{1 + s + 2ns} = \frac{4s(3n - s(2n^3 - 3n^2) - s^2(n^4 - n^3))}{(1 + s + 2ns)(1 + s(1 - s(n^2 - n)))} > 0,$$

and

$$\frac{4 - s(n-1)^2}{1 + ns} - \frac{4(1 + ns - n^2s)}{1 + s - (n-1)ns^2} = -\frac{s(n-1)^2(3 + s(n-1))}{(-1 + s(n-1))(1 + ns)} > 0.$$

Thus,

$$\frac{4 - s(n-1)^2}{1 + ns} > \frac{4(1 + ns - n^2s)}{1 + s - (n-1)ns^2} > \frac{4 - 4n^2s}{1 + s + 2ns}.$$

- (ii) For $a = 0$ and $b = 1$: The curvature value is $K_{r,s}(0, 1) = \frac{4}{s}$, which is independent of r .
- (iii) For $a = 1$ and $b = 0$: The curvature value is

$$h_s(r) := K_{r,s}(1, 0) = \frac{4((1+r)^2 + ns(1+r-nr))}{(1+r+ns)^2}.$$

In the interval $(0, \infty)$, we have that $h'_s(r) = 0$ if and only if

$$r = r_0 = \frac{(n-1)(1+ns)}{1+n} \quad (\in (0, \infty) \text{ when } n \geq 2),$$

with

$$h_s(r_0) = \frac{4 - s(n-1)^2}{1 + ns}.$$

Note that this is the same r_0 as above, although we see no clear geometric reason for this coincidence. At the endpoints, we have

$$h_s(0) = \frac{4}{1 + ns}, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} h_s(r) = 4.$$

Clearly, we have

$$4 > \frac{4}{1 + ns} > \frac{4 - s(n-1)^2}{1 + ns}.$$

Combining the three cases above, we have for $n \geq 2$:

$$\frac{4}{s} > 4 > \frac{4}{1 + ns} > \frac{4 - s(n-1)^2}{1 + ns} > \frac{4(1 + ns - n^2s)}{1 + s - (n-1)ns^2} > \frac{4 - 4n^2s}{1 + s + 2ns}.$$

Hence, the smallest and largest values attained by the holomorphic sectional curvature are

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} f_s(r) = \frac{4 - 4n^2s}{1 + s + 2ns} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{4}{s},$$

respectively.

Finally, in order to find the value of s with the best pinching constant, we define a function

$$p : (0, \frac{1}{n^2}) \rightarrow (0, 1), \quad p(s) := \frac{\min_{\xi} K_s(\xi)}{\max_{\xi} K_s(\xi)} = \frac{\frac{4-4n^2s}{1+s+2ns}}{\frac{4}{s}} = \frac{s(1-n^2s)}{1+s+2ns},$$

where the minimum and maximum are taken over all non-zero (or unit) tangent vectors across the entire manifold and the index s indicates that the holomorphic sectional curvature is computed with respect to the metric with the parameter value s . This is the function which we want to maximize. We see that $p'(s) = 0$ if and only if $s = -\frac{1}{n} \notin (0, \frac{1}{n^2})$ or $s = \frac{1}{2n^2+n} \in (0, \frac{1}{n^2})$. Elementary calculus tells us that p has a global maximum at $\frac{1}{2n^2+n}$. Hence, with $s = \frac{1}{2n^2+n}$, we get the optimal pinching of

$$p\left(\frac{1}{2n^2+n}\right) = \frac{1}{(1+2n)^2}.$$

3.2. The case $n = 1$. In the case when $n = 1$, the functions f_s and h_s have their stationary points at the boundary point $r = 0$. However, our reasoning still goes through almost verbatim and yields the expected pinching constant $\frac{1}{9}$ for $s = \frac{1}{3}$.

3.3. A remark on scalar curvature. The following formula due to [Ber66, Lemme 7.4] expresses the scalar curvature of a Kähler manifold as an integral of the holomorphic sectional curvature.

Lemma 3.1. *Let M be an m -dimensional Kähler manifold. Then the scalar curvature τ satisfies at every point $P \in M$:*

$$\tau(P) = \frac{m(m+1)}{4 \operatorname{vol}(S_P^{2m-1})} \int_{\xi \in S_P^{2m-1}} K(\xi) d\xi,$$

where S_P^{2m-1} denotes the unit sphere inside the tangent space $T_P M$ with respect to the metric, and $d\xi$ is the measure on S_P^{2m-1} induced by the metric.

This lemma yields the following corollary.

Corollary 3.2. *Let τ_s denote the scalar curvature of $\mathbb{F}_n$, $n \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, pertaining to the metric ω_s . Then*

$$\frac{3}{2} \min_{\xi} K_s(\xi) = \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{4-4n^2s}{1+s+2ns} \leq \tau_s \leq \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{4}{s} = \frac{3}{2} \max_{\xi} K_s(\xi).$$

In particular, for our optimal choice of $s = \frac{1}{2n^2+n}$, we have

$$\frac{6n(n+1)}{2n^2+3n+1} \leq \tau \leq 12n^2+6n.$$

Proof. The proof is immediate from Lemma 3.1 and the bounds for the holomorphic sectional curvature: Replace the integrand $K_s(\xi)$ by the minimum and maximum, respectively, which we computed, move the constant in front of the integral, cancel $\operatorname{vol}(S_P^{2m-1})$, and let $m = 2$. $\square$

Finally, since the scalar curvature is additive in products equipped with the product metric, and since the scalar curvature of $\mathbb{P}^1$ with the Fubini-Study metric is constant and equal to 2, it is immediately clear that the scalar curvature of $\mathbb{F}_0 = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is constant and equal to 4.

4. GEOMETRIC INTERPRETATION OF OUR COMPUTATIONS

The Hirzebruch surfaces have a beautiful geometric structure, which is very nicely explained in [GH94, pp. 517–520]. In particular, on the n -th Hirzebruch surface, there is a unique non-singular rational curve E “at infinity” which has self-intersection number $-n$. In terms of our coordinates z_1, z_2 , the curve E is given by $z_2 = \infty$. The fact that

$$\min_{\xi} K_s(\xi) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} f_s(r)$$

means that the smallest value of the holomorphic sectional curvature for each ω_s is attained at a tangent vector attached to a point of E . Note that because of the transitivity of the $SU(2)$ action, this is then true for all points of E . Since the largest value $\frac{4}{s}$ is attained inside every tangent space of $\mathbb{F}_n$, every point $P \in E$ has the property that the tangent space to $\mathbb{F}_n$ at P contains a vector giving the lowest possible holomorphic sectional curvature and a vector giving the highest possible holomorphic sectional curvature. In other words, for Hirzebruch surfaces, the notion of the “pinching constant” and the “pointwise pinching constant” are one and the same.

We can still say more about the vectors yielding the extreme values. If we consider a_0 and b_0 as functions of r and set $s = \frac{1}{2n^2+n}$, then

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} a_0 = \frac{1+ns}{1+s+2ns} = \frac{2n}{2n+1}, \text{ and } \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} b_0 = \frac{s(1+n)}{1+s+2ns} = \frac{1+n}{1+3n+2n^2}.$$

For large values of n , the first value is a little less than 1, and the second value is a little larger than 0. This means that the direction of the tangent vector giving the smallest value of the holomorphic sectional curvature is close, but not equal, to the direction of the tangent space of E , which we think of as the “horizontal” direction. Moreover, the direction of the tangent vector giving the largest value of the holomorphic sectional curvature is exactly “vertical” and thus almost, but not exactly, perpendicular to the direction giving the smallest value.

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

The proof of Theorem 1.2 consists of computing the holomorphic sectional curvature of the product metric on the product $M^m \times N^n$, $m, n \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, of two Hermitian manifolds with local coordinates $z_1, \dots, z_m$ and $z_{m+1}, \dots, z_{m+n}$ around points $P \in M$ and $Q \in N$, respectively. Let $g = \sum_{i,j=1}^m g_{i\bar{j}} dz_i \otimes d\bar{z}_j$, and $h = \sum_{i,j=m+1}^{m+n} h_{i\bar{j}} dz_i \otimes d\bar{z}_j$ be Hermitian metrics on M and N , respectively, with positive holomorphic sectional curvature. Then

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^m g_{i\bar{j}} dz_i \otimes d\bar{z}_j + \sum_{i,j=m+1}^{m+n} h_{i\bar{j}} dz_i \otimes d\bar{z}_j$$

gives the product metric in a neighborhood of $(P, Q) \in M \times N$. Since the $g_{i\bar{j}}$ are functions of only $z_1, \dots, z_m$ and the $h_{i\bar{j}}$ are functions of only $z_{m+1}, \dots, z_{m+n}$, we

obtain

$$R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} = \begin{cases} -\frac{\partial^2 g_{i\bar{j}}}{\partial z_k \partial \bar{z}_l} + \sum_{p,q=1}^m g^{p\bar{q}} \frac{\partial g_{i\bar{p}}}{\partial z_k} \frac{\partial g_{q\bar{j}}}{\partial \bar{z}_l}, & 1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq m \\ -\frac{\partial^2 h_{i\bar{j}}}{\partial z_k \partial \bar{z}_l} + \sum_{p,q=m+1}^{m+n} h^{p\bar{q}} \frac{\partial h_{i\bar{p}}}{\partial z_k} \frac{\partial h_{q\bar{j}}}{\partial \bar{z}_l}, & m+1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq m+n \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $\xi = \sum_{i=1}^{m+n} \xi_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}$ be a unit tangent vector in $T_{(P,Q)}(M \times N)$. Then the holomorphic sectional curvature on $M \times N$ along ξ is

$$\begin{aligned} K(\xi) &= 2 \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^m \left(-\frac{\partial^2 g_{i\bar{j}}}{\partial z_k \partial \bar{z}_l} + \sum_{p,q=1}^m g^{p\bar{q}} \frac{\partial g_{i\bar{p}}}{\partial z_k} \frac{\partial g_{q\bar{j}}}{\partial \bar{z}_l} \right) \xi_i \bar{\xi}_j \xi_k \bar{\xi}_l \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{i,j,k,l=m+1}^{m+n} \left(-\frac{\partial^2 h_{i\bar{j}}}{\partial z_k \partial \bar{z}_l} + \sum_{p,q=m+1}^{m+n} h^{p\bar{q}} \frac{\partial h_{i\bar{p}}}{\partial z_k} \frac{\partial h_{q\bar{j}}}{\partial \bar{z}_l} \right) \xi_i \bar{\xi}_j \xi_k \bar{\xi}_l. \end{aligned}$$

The two sums on the right hand side above are the numerators of the holomorphic sectional curvatures on M and N with respect to the tangent vectors $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in T_P M$ and $(\xi_{m+1}, \dots, \xi_{m+n}) \in T_Q N$, respectively, both of which are positive. Thus,

$$K(\xi) > 0.$$

In order to find the pinching constant, we need to take into consideration the (non-zero) norms of $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in T_P M$ and $(\xi_{m+1}, \dots, \xi_{m+n}) \in T_Q N$ with respect to the respective metrics in the two spaces, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} K(\xi) &= \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^m 2R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} \xi_i \bar{\xi}_j \xi_k \bar{\xi}_l + \sum_{i,j,k,l=m+1}^{m+n} 2R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} \xi_i \bar{\xi}_j \xi_k \bar{\xi}_l \\ &= \frac{\sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^m 2R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} \xi_i \bar{\xi}_j \xi_k \bar{\xi}_l}{\sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^m g_{i\bar{j}} g_{k\bar{l}} \xi_i \bar{\xi}_j \xi_k \bar{\xi}_l} \cdot \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^m g_{i\bar{j}} g_{k\bar{l}} \xi_i \bar{\xi}_j \xi_k \bar{\xi}_l \\ &\quad + \frac{\sum_{i,j,k,l=m+1}^{m+n} 2R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} \xi_i \bar{\xi}_j \xi_k \bar{\xi}_l}{\sum_{i,j,k,l=m+1}^{m+n} h_{i\bar{j}} h_{k\bar{l}} \xi_i \bar{\xi}_j \xi_k \bar{\xi}_l} \cdot \sum_{i,j,k,l=m+1}^{m+n} h_{i\bar{j}} h_{k\bar{l}} \xi_i \bar{\xi}_j \xi_k \bar{\xi}_l \\ &= K_M \cdot y^2 + K_N \cdot (1-y)^2, \end{aligned}$$

where K_M is the holomorphic sectional curvature of M along $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m)$, K_N the holomorphic sectional curvature of N along $(\xi_{m+1}, \dots, \xi_{m+n})$ and $y = \sum_{i,j=1}^m g_{i\bar{j}} \xi_i \bar{\xi}_j$. Since ξ is a unit tangent vector in $T_{(P,Q)}(M \times N)$, i.e., $\sum_{i,j=1}^m g_{i\bar{j}} \xi_i \bar{\xi}_j + \sum_{i,j=m+1}^{m+n} h_{i\bar{j}} \xi_i \bar{\xi}_j = 1$, we have

$$\sum_{i,j=m+1}^{m+n} h_{i\bar{j}} \xi_i \bar{\xi}_j = 1 - \sum_{i,j=1}^m g_{i\bar{j}} \xi_i \bar{\xi}_j = 1 - y.$$

Furthermore, the assumption

$$kc_M \leq K_M \leq k \quad \text{and} \quad kc_N \leq K_N \leq k$$

provides the following inequality:

$$F(y) := kc_M y^2 + kc_N (1-y)^2 \leq K_M y^2 + K_N (1-y)^2 \leq ky^2 + k(1-y)^2 =: \tilde{F}(y).$$

Finally, elementary calculus yields

$$\min_{0 \leq y \leq 1} F(y) = k \frac{c_M c_N}{c_M + c_N}$$

and

$$\max_{0 \leq y \leq 1} \tilde{F}(y) = k.$$

In particular,

$$k \frac{c_M c_N}{c_M + c_N} \leq K(\xi) \leq k,$$

and the pinching constant for the holomorphic sectional curvature on the product space is obtained as

$$c_{M \times N} = \frac{\inf_{\xi} K(\xi)}{\sup_{\xi} K(\xi)} = \frac{c_M c_N}{c_M + c_N}.$$

REFERENCES

- [Ber66] M. Berger. Sur les variétés d'Einstein compactes. In *Comptes Rendus de la IIIe Réunion du Groupement des Mathématiciens d'Expression Latine (Namur, 1965)*, 35–55. Librairie Universitaire, Louvain, 1966.
- [BG63] R. Bishop and S. Goldberg. On the topology of positively curved Kaehler manifolds. *Tôhoku Math. J. (2)*, 15:359–364, 1963.
- [Che77] B.-y. Chen. Extrinsic spheres in Kähler manifolds. II. *Michigan Math. J.*, 24(1):97–102, 1977.
- [GH94] P. Griffiths and J. Harris. *Principles of algebraic geometry*. Wiley Classics Library. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1994. Reprint of the 1978 original.
- [Hit75] N. Hitchin. On the curvature of rational surfaces. In *Differential geometry (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XXVII, Part 2, Stanford Univ., Stanford, Calif., 1973)*, pages 65–80. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1975.
- [KN69] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu. *Foundations of differential geometry. Vol. II*. Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Mathematics, No. 15 Vol. II. Interscience Publishers John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York-London-Sydney, 1969.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON, 4800 CALHOUN ROAD, HOUSTON, TX 77204, USA

E-mail address: aalvarez@math.uh.edu

E-mail address: ananya@math.uh.edu

E-mail address: heier@math.uh.edu